# BLENDING TRADITIONAL ASSESSMENTS WITH DIGITAL ALTERNATIVES IN HIGHER EDUCATION

**Mamosa Thaanyane*[1] and Thuthukile Jita[2]**

[1]*University of the Free State*

[2]*University of the Free State*

***Abstract:*** *Traditional assessments have long been the dominating modes of assessment in education. Literature criticizes these traditional modes of assessment for encouraging rote learning and failing to promote higher order thinking skills unlike alternative assessments. Literature further claims that lecturers are struggling to use digital assessment methods effectively in their classrooms to promote effective teaching and learning. Hence, this study aims to explore the potential benefits of digital assessment alternatives in augmenting traditional assessment methods in higher education. Framed from Organising Framework, the study adapted qualitative approach to explore how digital assessments can augment the traditional methods using a single case study. Purposive sampling was used to select six lecturers and interviewed using semi-structured interviews. Following transcription, data was analysed using thematic analysis and the following themes emerged: digital portfolios; instructional rubrics; task-based projects, embedded assessment. The study contributes to the growing discourse on digital transformation in education and calls for a contextualised, inclusive approach to assessment reform in education. The study proposes a phased, context-sensitive strategy for implementing tech-enhanced assessments that promote both educational quality and equity across diverse learning environments. The study findings will empower preservice teachers, practitioners, lecturers in higher education and policymakers to integrate technology-driven assessment*

***Keywords:*** Traditional Assessment, Digital alternatives, Learner-centred, Education, student achievement

## I INTRODUCTION

Traditional assessments have long been the dominant modes of assessing students' achievement globally. Literature criticizes these traditional modes of assessment as forms that encourage rote learning because they limit opportunities for diverse learners to demonstrate their abilities, hence failing to encourage higher-order thinking skills (Yadav, 2025; Vlachopoulos & Makri, 2024). These traditional assessments are further criticized as being rigid and time-bound and offering minimal feedback, making it difficult to measure the 21st-century skills demanded by contemporary society. Scholars such as Jansen and O'Ryan (2020) and Maringe and Moletsane (2015) argue that this system often fails to capture the depth, diversity, and complexity of learners' knowledge. dos Reis et al. (2022) add that these traditional assessments focus only on the lower cognitive levels of Bloom's Taxonomy, such as understanding and applying. As education systems have globally shifted toward more flexible and learner-centered approaches, digital assessments have been adapted as valuable opportunities to reimagine how learning is measured (Lambrechts & Smith, 2021; Spaull & Pretorius, 2019). These methods not only support more inclusive and authentic assessment practices but also allow students to demonstrate understanding in ways that extend beyond memorization. They not only support richer demonstrations of learners' understanding but also promote continuous learning because they align assessment practices with real-world competencies (Motsoeneng & Moreeng, 2023).

Narang et al. (2025) believe that lecturers using traditional assessments are mainly pressed by time limits on marking students' scripts, which leads to irregularities, making grading unfair and invisibly crucial as key components of assessment. Moreover, the persistent inequalities in the system stem from apartheid-era legacies and continue to affect access to quality teaching and learning, with assessment outcomes that often reflect broader structural disparities (Laher, 2024). However, even though resistance to change from traditional assessment approaches to digital assessments (Brink, 2025). Motsoeneng and online assessments as digital alternatives that can offer opportunities to gauge students' learning progress in are more flexible and realistic than traditional assessments, many lecturers seem to be stuck in the past, showing Moreeng (2023) claim that teachers are struggling to use digital assessment methods effectively in their classrooms to promote effective teaching and learning in education. To them, using digital assessment methods demonstrates a lack of competence resulting from insufficient training. Al-Sabbah et al., (2022) add that many lecturers still prefer traditional methods of assessment over digital assessments owing to their attitudes towards digital methods. Hence, this study aims to explore the potential benefits of digital assessment alternatives in augmenting traditional assessment methods in higher education context. Shifting to more digital assessment modes rather than memorizing procedures in education can help students develop critical workplace skills. The study responds to the following research questions:

### Research Questions

1) Which digital alternative assessments can be used to assess students' academic performance in education?

2) In what ways do digital assessment methods improve the effectiveness, accessibility, and reliability of traditional assessments in South Africa?

By addressing these concerns, the study contributes to empowering preservice teachers, practitioners, lecturers in higher education and policymakers to integrate technology-driven assessment in their teaching.

## II LITERATURE REVIEW

Traditional Assessment versus Alternative Assessments

Traditional assessment methods are most commonly written assessments and standardized tests, which have long been criticized for their narrow measurement of learning. A dominant concern about traditional assessments is their heavy reliance on rote learning rather than conceptual understanding or real-world application (Ndlovu, 2025). Studies have noted that high-stakes assessments often encourage surface learning strategies, where students prioritize short-term recall over deep comprehension, and they are made up of formative, summative, and standardized assessments (Meylani, 2024; Ndlovu, 2025). This means that traditional assessments emphasize numerical indicators, which frequently lead to a single score that sums up students' performance, encouraging memorization of facts without comprehension. These might include memorizing principles and procedures but failing to apply them in real life. As a result, these assessments fail to capture students' ability to transfer knowledge to novel contexts or engage in critical problem-solving. Another widely documented limitation is the restricted nature of feedback that traditional assessment systems provide because they evaluate whether students have met predetermined standards (Okafor, 2025). Typically, students receive grades or comments only after the assessment has been completed, leaving little opportunity for students to act on feedback or monitor their progress over time (Meylani, 2024; Ndlovu, 2025). This means that teachers need to have assessment literacy to combine knowledge about assessment with the concepts they assess. Morris et al. (2021) argue that assessment plays a critical role in modern education because it does not function in connection with measuring student achievement but also as a tool for enhancing teaching and learning. This is contrary to traditional assessments, which have been used to evaluate whether students have met predefined academic standards.

As indicated earlier, dos Reis et al. (2022) found that these traditional assessments focus only on the lower cognitive levels of Bloom's Taxonomy, such as understanding and applying. This means there is a significant difference between these modes. This feedback-lag limits the capacity of traditional assessments to support formative development, with Mngomezulu et al. (2024) and Morris et al. (2021) arguing that traditional assessments serve as more evaluative tools than learning tools. Furthermore, traditional assessments often operate on a standard model, which overlooks the diversity of learners in modern classrooms (Al-Sabbah et al., 2022; Meylini, 2024). Since students vary in their cultural backgrounds, learning preferences, abilities, and motivations, standardized assessments offer little flexibility to accommodate their differences (Darling-Hammond & Adamson, 2015). This means that even their performance will differ. Consequently, traditional assessments may disadvantage students who do not perform well under timed, high-pressure conditions or whose strengths lie in creativity, collaboration, or practical application such as in education. Historically, education relied on traditional assessments, summative assessments, problem sets, and standardized tests to evaluate students' performance. These methods are valued for their rigor and comparability, but scholars argue that they often prioritize memorization over application and critical thinking in education (Meylani, 2024). Van Rooyen (2020) notes that assessment practices in remain largely unchanged despite shifts in pedagogy, resulting in a gap between classroom evaluation and professional practice.

Traditional assessment methods have remained dominant in programs due to their perceived objectivity, efficiency, and alignment with professional accreditation and certification requirements (Ali et al., 2022). Closed-book examinations and individual assignments are commonly used to assess technical knowledge and computational skills, reinforcing a content-driven approach to learning. While such assessments are effective for measuring foundational knowledge, research has increasingly questioned their capacity to evaluate higher-order learning outcomes, including critical thinking, professional judgment, communication skills, and ethical reasoning (Makhene, 2022). This is how limited traditional assessments.

Emergence of Digital Alternatives

In response to the limitations of traditional assessment methods, digital and technology-enhanced assessments have emerged as promising alternatives. The rise of digital learning environments has prompted teachers to rethink assessment strategies. Okafor (2025) defines digital tools in education as any technology that supports the teaching and

learning process, ranging from simple online quizzes to complex artificial intelligence (AI)-driven systems. These tools include a wide range of technologies, from online learning management systems (LMS) to sophisticated data analytics platforms that provide real-time insights into student performance. Therefore, the integration of digital tools into traditional assessment practices can help redesign the traditional models of evaluation and offer new opportunities for personalized and adaptive learning (Hassan et al., 2024). Digital assessments also incorporate collaborative elements, including simulations and case-based scenarios. These tools allow learners to apply theoretical knowledge in realistic contexts, such as work-related scenarios in, thereby promoting deeper learning and problem-solving abilities (Zijie et al., 2025). Okafor (2025) asserts that these tools can be categorized based on their functionalities, such as LMSs, including Moodle and Blackboard; collaborative platforms; assessment software; and communication tools. They can also be used to manage course content, track student progress, administer assessments in a centralized environment (Levenberg et al., 2024), as well as provide instant feedback to students. According to Brink (2025), online assessment methods for that enhance academic integrity are the use of industry-based projects and authentic case studies, where students are required to demonstrate the application of the skills they have learned.

Learning management systems not only host course materials but also provide a space for administering online assessments. These platforms allow teachers to create and grade assignments, quizzes, and examinations, while also tracking students' progress in real time (Omosekejimi et al., 2018). This means there is still a need to apply these in real-life situations. The integration of assessment within an LMS streamlines the process, offering teachers detailed insights into student performance and enabling them to adjust instructional strategies accordingly. LMS platforms also allow for a variety of assessment types, ranging from multiple-choice quizzes to complex, open-ended assignments. They support the use of rubrics for grading, which helps ensure consistency and transparency in the evaluation process. Additionally, LMS platforms often incorporate features like discussion forums, groupwork capabilities, and peer review functions, which encourage collaboration and peer assessment (Opeyemi et al., 2019).

van Rensburg (2022) emphasizes that authentic assessment integrating simulations, e-portfolios, and adaptive quizzes provide richer opportunities for students to demonstrate competencies beyond traditional assessments. Digital worlds enable frequent, low-stakes assessments that track student progress over time, offering a more holistic view of learning than traditional assessments (Ali et al., 2021). Research indicates that ongoing assessment supports mastery learning by allowing students to revisit content and improve performance iteratively (William, 2024). Sithole (2025) reinforces this perspective, showing how digital assessment can strengthen high-stakes assessments by embedding technology acceptance models (TAM). Her findings suggest that digital platforms not only improve efficiency but also enhance fairness and accessibility, particularly in diverse classrooms.

Unlike traditional tests, simulations can be used in education to capture process-oriented skills and decision-making pathways, offering richer insights into student competencies. A further strength of digital assessment lies in real-time feedback and learning analytics (William, 2024). Automated feedback systems can give students immediate responses, prompting timely correction and reflection. Learning analytics dashboards also support lecturers by highlighting performance trends, identifying at-risk learners, and enabling targeted interventions (Ifenthaler & Yau, 2020). This creates a self-motivated feedback loop which is missing from traditional assessment practices. Lecturers can also use portfolio assessment to assess students' academic performance by giving them several assignments and/or projects to work on and find if they can demonstrate understanding of the course material (Zaabalawi & Zaabalawi, 2024). Tools such e-portfolio allow students to collect, organize, and showcase their learning over time. This is because it is easy for lecturers to track progress using this tool. Unlike traditional assessment methods that often focus on a single examination or assignment, e-portfolio offer a more holistic view of a student's academic journey (Nwaukwa et al, 2019). Hence, e-portfolios are valuable for assessing skills such as critical thinking, creativity, and problem-solving, which may not be fully captured by traditional tests. Additionally, they encourage students to reflect on their performance, helping them to better understand their own

strengths and weaknesses so as to improve. Modise and Mudau (2023) highlight that e-portfolios can support personalized.

Theoretical Framework

The study draws from Organizing Framework developed by Bearman et al. (2023). This framework is used to better understand the details that form basis for assessment in education; the framework combines digital technology and current assessment frameworks. By incorporating both the sociotechnical and practical perspectives of digital technologies, it is meant to inform assessment designs. According to this approach, to retain educational value, assessment needs to be relevant to a society that is digitally mediated. Three objectives make up the framework: 1) digital technologies for improved assessment; 2) digital literacy development and certification; and 3) human capabilities development and certification for a digital world. The second objective addresses digital literacies, taking into account how assessment interacts with digital technology and fosters students' digital literacies. It stipulates that to make sure the assessment is in line with its goal, the teacher must explain the rationale of the assessment. It highlights the need for future educators to create a method that will effectively employ digital tools to transmit the necessary information in assessment activities.

According to O'Donnell et al. (2020), digital assessment designs should encourage knowledge production rather than duplication. They go on to say that the development of digital literacy abilities and skills might occasionally result from the design of digital assessments. Thus, evaluation ought to enhance students' abilities. The first objective is to use digital technologies as a tool to enhance assessment. Using digital tools can help reduce human errors in data collection and grading students. Since these systems are automated, they can quickly process volumes of data, saving lecturers time in doing such work manually. The second objective focuses on digital literacies, specifically how assessment interacts with digital technology to create student-digital literacies. It states that a teacher must articulate the assessment rationale to ensure that it aligns with the assessment purpose. It emphasizes mastery in that assessment tasks should require future teachers to develop an approach that will convey the appropriate information through the effective use of digital tools. O'Donnell et al. (2020) have proposed that digital assessment designs should prompt knowledge creation rather than replication. They further argue that the development of digital literacy skills and capabilities is sometimes the side effect of digital assessment design. Therefore, assessment should foster students' capabilities and help in evaluating digital information.

The third objective focuses on how students can individually develop human capabilities to supplement the work of digital technologies that they will perform in the future. It requires capabilities that are beyond digital literacy and require digital skills for living and working. The argument is that assessment designers should consider designing tasks that reflect on how digital assessment impacts students' future activities which is beyond digital literacies. Assessment designers should underline how human capabilities determine the digital tools to be used based on the context. This approach highlights that every assessment task has the potential to address the complexities of gaining, working, and living in the digital era. This theory is relevant to the study because it integrates digital technologies and assessment design. It also provides a means whereby teachers can make their assessments digitally.

## III MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study adopted a qualitative approach using one university as a case to gain an in-depth understanding of how traditional assessment can be augmented through the integration of digital alternatives (Kumar, 2019). It sampled two lecturers and two students in the Faculty of Education. Convenient sampling was used for efficiency to collect data quickly and cost-effectively. Moreover, lecturers were selected as experts in education who can provide a deep understanding of how education can be assessed using digital technology (Buckler & Moore, 2023; Kumar, 2019). Data were collected through semi-structured interviews that allowed the interviewer to prompt for more information. The interviews were audio-recorded and transcribed at a later stage (Creswell &

Creswell, 2018. The interviews were open-ended interviews where participants expressed their opinions about the importance of both methods of assessment and how digital alternatives overcome the weaknesses of traditional assessments in education to improve learning and teaching (Creswell & Creswell 2018; Janson & Glenwick, 2016; Leavy, 2017). The interviews lasted for 30 minutes each with individual participants.

The data were transcribed immediately after each interview, as the first researcher familiarized herself with the data, with data coding also subsequently performed (Janson & Glenwick, 2016). Data were analyzed using Braun and Clarke's (2020) thematic analysis, which commenced with the transcription of data and assignment of codes as a means of breaking data down into small, manageable components. The codes were refined and new themes emerged from the data. The ethical consideration for conducting research with human beings was observed. Participants were informed about the purpose of the study and that their participation was voluntary and that they were free to quit at any time (Buckler & Moore, 2023). Anonymity was also granted to the participants about the information that would relate to data they had given. Permission to conduct research was granted by the relevant university Ethics Committee. Ultimately, five themes emerged from the data and were discussed in relation to the reviewed literature and theory (Creswell, 2018). Data is kept safely by the first researcher and locked with a password that will not be shared with anybody, and no names or workplaces of the participants are associated with the information they provided

## IV FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS

Digital assessment tools

In relation to the first research question, the study findings reveal that digital assessments such as e-portfolios, online tests and examinations are being used by lecturers and they provide students with opportunities to demonstrate their competencies. Distinctively from traditional assessment methods that regularly focus on a single examination or assignment, digital alternatives offer a more holistic view of a student's academic journey. They have platforms that enable students to document their learning experiences, reflect on their progress, and present a portfolio of their work to teachers for assessment (Nwaukwa et al., 2019). These are the digital tools that serve the first objective of the framework as Bearman et al. (2023) posited. This means that these digital assessments allow lecturers to create assessments that mimic real-world tasks where students demonstrate their competencies such as analyzing financial data or preparing financial statements using software. It also implies that digital alternatives can perform beyond the traditional assessment models due to their effectiveness. It is also asserted by Van Rensburg (2022) that authentic assessment-integrating simulations provide richer opportunities for students to demonstrate competencies beyond traditional assessments as students can immerse themselves in an environment where they practice tasks such as financial reporting in a real digital setting. This implies that blending traditional assessments with digital assessments maximises the benefits of both as fundamental knowledge and scenarios that replicate real-world can allow students to demonstrate learning progress and develop critical thinking skills using digital case studies. Simulations were further appreciated by lecturers against traditional tests, and this implies if used in education, they can capture process-oriented skills and decision-making pathways, which offer richer insights into student competencies as asserted by Williams, 2024). Digital cases were also mentioned as digital assessments that can be used to supplement traditional assessments, where students analyze complex business scenarios using digital tools that require collaborative problem-solving skills.

Students seemed to embrace e-portfolios more, when reflecting on the work they did over a period of time. This means that students are not only assessed using one piece of work, but rather multiple work done over a certain period of time. This helps them monitor their progress since previous work can be easily compared with recent work. As Bearman et al. (2023) state, these digital tools improve assessment in education. Using these digital interactive tools keeps students interested and hence increases their engagement and motivation. Although simulation and digital case studies are referred to as open-book assessments, the study refers to them as traditional assessments.

Gamification was also disclosed as one of the digital assessment methods that can be used to assess education to strengthen traditional assessments. This can allow students play-out tasks that replicate real business and this deepens principles when played out. Incorporating game-like tasks into learning modules boosts motivation because they can be used to capture process-oriented skills and deepen knowledge which can move beyond traditional methods to assess complex skills in education. These assessment methods can also foster students' engagement and prepare them for the digital finance world for their future jobs. This concurs with Hassan et al. (2024) that these assessment practices can reshape the traditional models of assessing students and offer new opportunities for personalized and adaptive learning. This means that they can augment traditional assessment methods, as they can help in developing higher-order skills such as critical thinking, collaboration, and self-directed learning. These can also be used by lecturers to manage course content for teaching, track their students' progress, and even administer assessments in a centralized environment as indicated by Levenberg et al. (2024) that it can provide instant feedback to students. As stated by theory, this extends beyond developing digital credentialing literacies and human capabilities development for digital world.

Enhancing efficient feedback

Findings reveal that digital assessment platforms significantly improve the speed, specificity, and consistency of the feedback they provide to student-teachers. The automated marking features that lecturers use are seen as helpful, as they have greatly reduced the turnaround time required to grade assignments manually. This means that these new methods of assessment have the potential to augment the traditional assessment methods, where feedback is provided only after the assessment has been completed. Lecturers also viewed the use of online rubrics as a major advantage because digital rubrics offer them a clearer and more structured breakdown of student-teachers' strengths and weaknesses, improving transparency and fairness when grading. This concurs with Hassan et al. (2024), Modise and Mudau (2023) and Sithole (2025) that these platforms not only improve efficiency for students but also enhance fairness and accessibility for diverse learning. It is also supported by the first purpose of theory that blended assessments can better assess education students better. This means they can adjust teaching and learning content so that all students are at the same level of understanding or cognitive level. Furthermore, findings reveal that digital assessments enhance student engagement since students can reflect on their own learning. Since feedback is always accessible online and often more detailed than traditional handwritten comments, students can be reviewed by their peers and act upon the feedback provided.

Unlike the limited feedback that Okafor (2025) explains earlier, which is given only when the assessment is done, blended assessments help students develop human capabilities for a digital world. This implies that more data-informed instruction can also help lecturers shift from reactive to proactive pedagogy in education. Contrarily, if this feedback is misinterpreted, students become frustrated, which affects their confidence in teaching education in the future as Motsoeneng and Moreeng (2023) indicated. Therefore, feedback should provide specific advice for improvement to be considered effective teaching and learning process. It also means that lecturers can easily address misconceptions in time, modify instructional strategies in real time, and design targeted remediation activities where possible. This also prepares student-teachers to adopt data-driven decision-making in their classrooms in the future due to instant feedback received from their lecturers. It also implies going beyond mere digital literacy.

Monitoring Students' Progress

Findings highlight that digital platforms help in monitoring students' progress because they generate data analytics such as question-level performance, time spent on tasks, and progress tracking. This means blended assessments can contribute to the development of technologically competent graduates who are prepared for the evolving demands of the profession. As Spaull and Pretorius (2019) postulated, this supports inclusive and authentic assessments, which help students comprehend content instead of memorising it. However, when lecturers lack these skills, they might struggle to use digital assessment tools and end up grading students unfairly. On the other hand, students

indicated that digital feedback is clearer, more organized, and easier to interpret than handwritten comments. They seemed appreciating that the detailed breakdown of performance criteria in the form of digital rubrics, allowing them to revisit feedback multiple times. This reduces ambiguity, since typed comments are more legible and structured. Reports show that the consistency of digital marking helps them better understand expectations. They valued the progress analytics provided by platforms such as Moodle, Google Classroom, and Thuto as essential in developing digital literacy. They indicated that these tools help them monitor their performance across tasks, set their personal goals, identify areas that need improvement, and also to manage their study time more effectively.

Flexibility in various assessments

The study findings show that lecturers felt inhibited by traditional, paper-based examination formats and reported that digital tools enable them to use a wider range of authentic assessment methods that include problem-based simulations, reflective journals, and interactive quizzes. Despite that digital assessments are perceived as more essential than traditional assessments, they are criticized for disadvantaging students who do not work well under timed high pressure. This is because online examinations are normally online and are timed. These formats allow education lecturers to assess practical skills which traditional assessments often overlook. The students, on the other hand, emphasized that digital assessment allows flexibility and increases creativity. This is because tasks can be completed online at their own pace, outside of classroom hours, and from different locations. This also encourages autonomy that students are responsible for their own learning and that it prepares them for the digital world as the theory adapted illustrates. They also noted that digital formats support diverse learning needs because instructions, resources, and feedback can be accessed repeatedly. Those balancing teaching practicum commitments reported that digital submissions reduced stress by eliminating the need to hand in physical documents and enabling remote assessment. As Bearman et al. (2023) indicate, these can increasingly develop human capabilities to complement the work they will perform in the future.

Digital Literacy and Training Deficiency

Findings reveal that both lecturers reported that they lack confidence with digital tools, especially in designing reliable online assessments. This means that they find it difficult to convert paper-based examination questions into effective digital formats because they are uncertain about selecting appropriate tools and have anxiety about technical errors during assessment. Their concern was that training workshops were too theoretical and lacked hands-on design. Consequently, when lecturers lack confidence in converting paper-based questions into digital formats, the validity and reliability of assessments are likely to decline. Poorly designed online tasks fail to measure intended learning outcomes, leading to misalignment between curriculum goals and actual assessment practice. It also leads to increased workload for lecturers because uncertainty about selecting appropriate digital tools forces lecturers to spend more time dealing with troubleshooting and redesigning appropriate assessments. This can result in longer preparation cycles, fatigue, and reduced time for other academic duties, such as research, student mentoring, and curriculum development, that lecturers still need to perform. So, these would not allow the development of skills, as Bearman et al. (2023) state in the framework.

Unfair Practices of Teaching and Assessment

The findings reveal that lecturers reported uneven digital literacy among staff, which leads to inconsistency in assessment quality across departments or faculty. One of the lecturers claimed to have adopted advanced digital tools, while the other one kept to basic online methods, and this creates inequitable learning experiences for student-teachers. It also forces lecturers to depend on other staff and ICT people for support. This means that there is lack of training for lecturers to use digital tools. Hence, lecturers with limited digital skills may also rely heavily on instructional designers or ICT support teams instead of designing assessments themselves. This dependency slows down assessment implementation and creates bottlenecks when support is unavailable, especially during peak assessment periods. As Bearman et al. (2023) indicate, these bottlenecks impede the development of digital skills, which will be demanded in the

future. This means that both groups valued the clarity, consistency, and accessibility of digital feedback. This implies a need for a wider adoption of digital rubrics, training for lecturers in effective online feedback practices, and the incorporation of feedback literacy into student-teacher preparation. This is contrary to the theory that digital assessments are for developing human capabilities for digital world meaning it does not accommodate diversity in students. Therefore, this calls for blending the two assessments methods so as to address inequalities and diversity issues. Such practices can cultivate a culture where feedback is ongoing, constructive, and integral to learning rather than a final step. It also promotes student-teachers' self-regulation as they can use digital dashboards to track their progress, set their future goals, and monitor their performance. Hence, institutions should design assessments that encourage independent learning, reflective practice, and self-monitoring. These habits are essential for developing future reflective practitioners who are capable of self-evaluating their own teaching.

## V CONCLUSION

The evolving nature of the profession, shaped by digital transformation, regulatory complexity, and data-driven decision-making, necessitates a corresponding shift in how students are assessed. Traditional assessment methods, such as closed-book examinations and rote problem-solving, while valuable for evaluating foundational knowledge, are increasingly insufficient in measuring the broader competencies required of modern graduates. These include critical thinking, professional judgment, ethical reasoning, technological proficiency, and collaborative skills. Augmenting traditional assessments with digital and alternative assessment methods offers a more holistic and authentic evaluation of student learning. Approaches such as project-based assessments, simulations, case-based analyses, e-portfolios, data analytics tasks, and continuous formative assessments enable students to demonstrate applied knowledge in realistic professional contexts. Digital tools further enhance assessment by allowing timely feedback, adaptive learning, and the evaluation of higher-order cognitive skills. These methods also promote student engagement, self-directed learning, and the development of transferable skills aligned with industry expectations.

Moreover, alternative assessments improve the effectiveness of education by fostering deeper learning rather than surface memorization. They encourage reflection, problem-solving, and integration of theory with practice, thereby better preparing students for the dynamic demands of the profession. When thoughtfully designed and aligned with learning outcomes, digital assessments can also enhance academic integrity, inclusivity, and consistency in evaluation. Finally, rethinking assessment in education is not about replacing traditional methods entirely, but about strategically complementing them with innovative, digitally enabled alternatives. By embracing a balanced and diversified assessment framework, educators can more accurately measure student competence, improve learning outcomes, and ensure graduates are equipped with the skills, adaptability, and professional judgment required in an increasing digital landscape.

## REFERENCES


[1] *Ali, I., Narayan, A., & Gedera, D. (2022). Transforming assessment in accounting education to align with online learning. Pacific Review, 34(4), 536–547. https://doi.org/10.1108/PAR-05-2021-005.8*

[2] *Al-sabbah, S., Almomani, J., Amani, D., & Najwan, . F. (2022). Traditional versus authentic assessments in higher education. Pegem Journal of Education and Instruction, 12(1), 283–291. https://doi.org/10.47750/pegegog.12.01.29.*

[3] *Bearman, M., Nieminen, J. H., & Ajjawi, R. (2023). Designing assessment in a digital world: An organising framework. Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 48(3), 291–304.*

[4] *Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2020). Thematic analysis: A practical guide. Sage.*

[5] *Brink, S. M. (2025). Online assessments for accounting: Exploring students' experiences and perceptions. South African Journal of Higher Education, 39(3), 53–76. https://doi.org/10.20853/39-3-6352.*

[6] *Buckler, S., & Moore, H. (2023). Essentials of research methods in education. SAGE.*

[7] *Creswell, J.W. and Creswell, J.D. (2023) Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches. Sage Publications Ltd.*

[8] *Darling-Hammond, L., & Adamson, F. (2015). Beyond the bubble test: How performance assessments support 21st century learning. John Wiley & Sons. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119210863.*

[9] *dos Reis, J. B. A., Lorenzi, A. S., & do Vale, H. M. M. (2022). Methods used for the study of endophytic fungi: A review on methodologies and challenges, and associated tips. Archives of Microbiology, 204, Article 675. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-022-03283-0.*

[10] *Hassan, J., Missen, M. M. S., Firdous, A., Maham, A & Ikram, A. (2023). An Adaptive M-Learning Usability Model for Facilitating M-Learning for Slow Learners. International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies. https://doi.org/.3991/ijim.v17i19.42153.*

[11] *Ifenthaler, D., & Yau, J. (2020). Utilising learning analytics to support study success in higher education: A systematic review. Educational Technology Research and Development, 68, 1961−1990. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-020-09788-z.*

[12] *Jansen, D.R.M., Keijsers, C.J.P.W., Kornelissen, M.O & Kramers, M.G. M. (2019). Towards a prescribing license for medical students: development and quality evaluation of an assessment for safe prescribing. European Journal of Clinical Pharmacology, 75:1261–1268. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00228-019-02686-1.*

[13] *Jansen, J., & O'Ryan, E. (2020). Learning under lockdown: Voices of South Africa's children. CNA Holdings (Pty) Ltd.*

[14] *Janse van Rensburg, J., & Rauscher, W. (2022). Strategies for fostering critical thinking dispositions in the technology classroom. International Journal of Technology and Design Education, 32, 2151–2171. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10798-021-09690-6.*

[15] *Jason, L. A., & Glenwick, D. S. (Eds.). (2016). Handbook of methodological approaches to community-based research: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods. Oxford University Press.*

[16] *Kumar, R. (2019). Research methodology: A step-by-step guide for beginners (4th ed.). Sage.*

[17] *Laher S. (2024). Advancing an agenda for psychological assessment in South Africa. South African Journal of Psychology, 54(4), 515–530. https://doi.org/10.1177/00812463241268528.*

[18] *Lambrechts, A. A., & Smith, K. (2020). Impact of the Covid-19 pandemic crisis on doctoral researchers in the UK. The University of York.*

[19] *Leavy, P. (2017). Research Design: Quantitative, Qualitative, Mixed Methods, Arts-Based, and Community-Based Participatory Research Approaches. New York, NY: The Guilford Press.*

[20] *Levenberg, A., Harari, L. & Pollak, D. (2025). Developing and validating tools to assess digital content integration in pre-service teachers' practice lessons. Education and Information Technology, 30:8591–8609. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-13140-w.*

[21] *Makhene A. (2022). Use of foundational knowledge as a basis to facilitate critical thinking: Nurse educators' perceptions. Nursing Research and Practice, 2022, Article 3736322. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/3736322.*

[22] *Maringe, F., & Moletsane, R. (2015). Leading schools in circumstances of multiple deprivation in South Africa: Mapping some conceptual, contextual and research dimensions. Educational Management Administration & Leadership, 43(3), 347–362. https://doi.org/10.1177/1741143215575533.*

[23] *Meylani, R. (2024). A comparative analysis of traditional and modern approaches to assessment and evaluation in education. Western Anatolia Journal of Educational Sciences, 15(1), 520–555. https://doi.org/10.51460/baebd.1386737.*

[24] *Mngomezulu, H., Mkhize, T. F., & Nhlumayo, B. S. (2024). The role of formative feedback in teaching and learning: Grade 10 physical sciences teachers' perspectives. Interdisciplinary Journal of Education Research, 6, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.38140/ijer-2024.vol6.10.*

[25] *Mudau, P & Modise, M. (2022). Using E-Portfolios for Active Student Engagement in the ODeL Environment. Journal of Information Technology Education: Research. 21. 425-438. https:/doi.org/10.28945/5012.*

[26] *Morris, R., Perry, T., & Wardle, L. (2021). Formative assessment and feedback for learning in higher education: A systematic review. Reviews of Education, 9(3), e3292. https://doi.org/10.1002/rev3.3292.*

[27] *Motsoeneng, T. J., & Moreeng, B. (2023). Exploring accounting teachers' effective implementation of assessment for learning in the classroom. Research in Social Sciences and Technology, 8(4), 257–282. https://doi.org/10.46303/ressat.2023.42.*

[28] *Narang, R., Kansal, M., Joshi, M., & Tharapos, M. (2025). Integrating sustainability education in curricula: Perspectives of Indian deans. Education, 1–40. https://doi.org/10.1080/09639284.2025.2603222.*

[29] *Ndlovu, B. B. (2025). Exploring teachers' practices when using formative assessment in improving quality education. Cogent Education, 12(1), Article 2451489. https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2025.2451489.*

[30] *Nwaukwa, F. C., Okonkwo, M. U., Ezenwanne, C. R., & Anozie, P. U. (2019). Extent of utilization of E-portfolios for enhancing learning by business education students in Imo State. Nigerian Journal of Business Education (NIGJBED), 6(1), 418-428. http://www.nigjbed.com.ng/index.php/nigjbed/article/view/327.*

[31] *O'Donnell, R., Hatzikiriakidis, K., Mendes, P., Savaglio, M., Green, R., Kerridge, G., Currie, G., & Skouteris, H. (2020). The impact of transition interventions for young people leaving care: A review of the Australian evidence. International Journal of Adolescence and Youth, 25(1), 1076−1088. https://doi.org/10.1080/02673843.2020.1842216.*

[32] *Okafor, J. O. (2025). The role of digital tools in assessment and their impact on educational practices. Indonesian Journal of Innovative Teaching and Learning, 2(1), 58–71. https://ojs.aeducia.org/index.php/ijitl/article/view/202.*

[33] *Omosekejimi, A. F., Brume-Ezewu, S., Brume-Ezewu, E. G., Nwobu, B. K., & Nweke, A. C. (2019). ICT and digital literacy skills: A mechanism for efficient teaching in Nigerian colleges of education. Information Impact: Journal of Information and Knowledge Management, 9(3), 57–71.*

*https://doi.org/10.4314/iijikm.v9i3.5.*

[34] *Opeyemi, D. A., Ayodele, V., Alufa, O., Anderson, E., Strachan, R., & Emembolu, I. (2019). Barriers and identified solutions to the integration of digital technologies in the classroom: A case study of teachers in Nigeria. In 2019 IEEE global engineering education conference, 953-958). IEEE. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8725160.*

[35] *Sithole, N. V. (2025). Reinforcing digital assessment in accounting high-stakes examinations. Research in Educational Policy and Management, 7(2), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.46303/repam.2025.7.*

[36] *Spaull, N., & Pretorius, E. (2019). Still Falling at the First Hurdle: Examining Early Grade Reading in South Africa. In: Spaull, N., Jansen, J. (eds) South African Schooling: The Enigma of Inequality. Policy Implications of Research in Education, vol 10. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-18811-5_8.*

[37] *Van Rensburg, E. C. (2022). Approaches to digital learning and authentic assessment in accounting education. PhD Thesis, University of Pretoria.*

[38] *Van Rooyen, S. (2020). Assessment in accounting education: In J. Fouché, & N. van der Merwe (Eds.), South African accounting education stocktake (pp. 106–126). African Sun Media.*

[39] *Vlachopoulos, D & Makri, A. (2024). A systematic literature review on authentic assessment in higher education: Best practices for the development of 21st century skills, and policy considerations. Studies in Educational Evaluation, 83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2024.101425.*

[40] *Williams, A. (2024). Delivering effective student feedback in higher education: An evaluation of the challenges and best practice. International Journal of Research in Education and Science, 10(2), 473–501. https://doi.org/10.46328/ijres.3404.*

[41] *Yadav, S. (2025). Assessment And Productive Pedagogy: The Need For Redesigning Evaluation Methods To Foster Critical Thinking and Creativity. 13. 2320-2882.*

[42] *Zaabalawi, R. S., & Zaabalawi, J. (2024). Portfolios versus exams: A study to gauge the better student assessment tool. Language Testing in Asia, 14, Article 28. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40468-024-00296-y.*

[43] *Zijie, Z., Amelia, A. B., & Nurfaradilla, N. B. (2025). Pathways and innovative practices for accounting education in the intelligent era. International Journal of Academic Research in Progressive Education and Development, 14(3), 491–514. http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARPED/v14-i3/25977.*

[44] *J. Kimura and H. Shibasaki, "Recent Advances in Clinical Neurophysiology", Proceedings of the 10th International Congress of EMG and Clinical Neurophysiology, Kyoto, Japan,* ***(1995)*** *October 15-19.*

***11.1. Patent***

[45] *C. E. Larsen, R. Trip and C. R. Johnson, "Methods for procedures related to the electrophysiology of the heart", U.S. Patent 5,529,067,* ***(1995)*** *June 25.*